

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/671,099	09/25/2003	William R. Hancock	H0005180 (256.157US1)	6350
21186	7590 02/14/2006		EXAMINER	
SCHWEG	MAN, LUNDBERG, V	LUU, MATTHEW		
1600 TCF T	OWER			
121 SOUTH EIGHT STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			3663	

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/671,099	HANCOCK, WILL	HANCOCK, WILLIAM R.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		LUU MATTHEW	3663				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence at	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 December 2005.						
		☐ This action is non-fina	ıl.	ļ			
/	,_						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the applic	cation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction as	nd/or election requireme	ent.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmon							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/671,099

Art Unit: 3663

ì

DETAILED ACTION

1. Upon review of Applicant's response filed December 19, 2005, it is noted that a restriction/election is warranted. Any inconvenience to Applicant is regretted.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-12, drawn to a method (obtaining a texture having multiple rows),
 classified in class 345, subclass 426.
 - II. Claims 13-20, drawn to a method (obtaining a texture having multiple columns of texels), classified in class 345, subclass 583.
 - III. Claims 21-27, drawn to an apparatus (a system for representing an arc), classified in class 382, subclass 108.
 - IV. Claims 28-33, drawn to an apparatus (a module that represents the trapezoids as triangles), classified in class 382, subclass 203.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I/II and III/IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice.

The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can

drawing geometrical polygons on a piece of paper.

be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus such as drawing an arc on a calculator or by hand. In addition, the

apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process

Page 3

- 4. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention Group I has separate utility such as a method for obtaining a texture having multiple rows without obtaining a texture having multiple columns of texels, and vise versa. In other words, each one of the inventions recited in Group I and Group II is separately usable in a system not having the other. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 5. Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention Group III has separate utility such as an apparatus for obtaining a texture having multiple rows without obtaining a texture having multiple columns of texels, and vise versa. In other words, each one of the inventions recited in Group III and Group IV is separately usable in a system not having the other. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/671,099 Page 4

Art Unit: 3663

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be completed must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Upon election of invention I, II, III or IV, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect on of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims are generic):
 - (A) The embodiment wherein a circle is rendered using textures corresponding to trapezoidal radials of the circle.
 - (B) The embodiment wherein the circle is broken into multiple radials, with the line defining the circle broken into arcs corresponding to the radials.

9. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement (e.g., I and A), and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LUU MATTHEW whose telephone number is (571) 272-7663. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible Schedule.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,099 Page 6

Art Unit: 3663

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JACK KEITH can be reached on (571) 272-7663. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Luu

MATTHEW LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER

maha an